

# The Number of Independent Sets in a Regular Graph Yufei Zhao (MIT)

# Introduction

Let G = (V, E) be a graph. An **independent set** is a subset of the vertices with no two adjacent. Let i(G)denote the number of independent sets of G.



**Figure 1:** The independent sets of a 4-cycle:  $i(C_4) = 7$ .

The following question is motivated by applications in combinatorial group theory [1] and statistical mechanics [4].

**Question.** In the family of *N*-vertex, *d*-regular graphs, when is the number of independent sets maximized?

Alon [1] in 1991 and Kahn [4] in 2001 conjectured that, when  $N/2d \in \mathbf{Z}$ , i(G) should be maximized when G is a disjoint union of N/2d copies of  $K_{d,d}$ , which has  $i(K_{d,d})^{N/2d}$  independent sets since  $i(G_1 \sqcup G_2) =$  $i(G_1)i(G_2)$  for any graphs  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ . More precisely, it was conjectured that:

**Conjecture** (Alon and Kahn). For any *N*-vertex, *d*regular graph G,

$$i(G) \leq i (K_{d,d})^{N/2d} = (2^{d+1} - 1)^{N/2d}$$

Note equality holds if G is a disjoint union of  $K_{d,d}$ 's.

Our result confirms and generalizes this conjecture.

**Example:** Two 6-vertex 3-regular graphs:





13 independent sets

	U	U		
15	inde	pend	ent	sets

Previous results			
Alon [1]	$i(G) \leq 2^{(1/2+O(d^{-0.1}))N}$		
Kahn [4]	Proved conjecture for bipartite G		
Sapozhenko [6]	$i(G) \leq 2^{(1/2+O(\sqrt{(\log d)/d}))N}$		
Kahn [5]	$i(G) \leq 2^{(1/2+1/d)N}$		
Galvin [2]	$i(G) \leq 2^{(1/2+1/2d+O(\sqrt{(\log d)/d^3}))N}$		



**Idea.** Show that  $G \times K_2$  has at least as many independent sets of each size as  $G \sqcup G$ .

This would imply that, for  $\lambda \geq 0$ ,

$$\begin{split} P(\lambda, G \sqcup G) &= \sum_{k \ge 0} (\text{\# ind. sets of size } k \text{ in } G \sqcup G) \lambda^k \\ &\leq \sum_{k \ge 0} (\text{\# ind. sets of size } k \text{ in } G \times K_2) \lambda^k \\ &= P(\lambda, G \times K_2). \end{split}$$

Note that  $P(\lambda, G \sqcup G) = P(\lambda, G)^2$  since independent sets of  $G \sqcup G$  correspond to pairs of independent sets of G. The main result holds for  $G \times K_2$  since it's already bipartite. So

 $P(\lambda, G)^2 = P(\lambda, G \sqcup G) \leq P(\lambda, G \times K_2) \leq P(\lambda, K_{d,d})^{N/d},$ from which the result for G would follow. So we have reduced the problem to the lemma on the next column.

# Key Lemma

For any graph G, there exists a size-preserving injection from  $\mathcal{I}(G \sqcup G)$  to  $\mathcal{I}(G \times K_2)$ , where  $\mathcal{I}(\cdot)$  denotes the collection of independent sets of a graph.

### **Construction of the injection:**

• Start with an independent set  $A \sqcup B$  of  $G \sqcup G$ :

• "Merge" the two layers. Obtain  $A \cup B \subset V(G)$ .



• The induced subgraph  $G[A \cup B]$  is a bipartite graph since it is induced by the union of two independent sets. Choose the lexicographically first  $S \subset V(G)$  so that all edges of  $G[A \cup B]$  lie between S and  $V(G) \setminus S$ .



• Back to  $G \sqcup G$ . Swap each pair of vertices in S, and we obtain an independent set of  $G \times K_2$ .



**Claim.** This is an injection whose image consists of all independent sets  $C \sqcup D$  of  $G \times K_2$  such that  $G[C \cup D]$  is bipartite. Here  $C, D \subset V$  correspond to the two "layers" of  $G \times K_2$ .

*Proof.* The construction always produces an independent set of  $G \times K_2$  since swapping the vertices of S eliminates all possible adjacencies in  $G \times K_2$ .

We obtain the inverse map by basically the same procedure. See [7] for details. 

Non-regular graphs. Kahn [4] also conjectured that, for any graph G without isolated vertices

**Non-entropy proof of bipartite case?** So far the only known proofs of the bipartite case of these results use entropy methods [3, 4]. It would be nice to have an elementary and completely combinatorial proof.

**Counting graph homomorphisms.** Galvin and Tetali [3] generalized Kahn's result and showed that for any d-regular, N-vertex bipartite graph G, and any graph H (possibly with self-loops),

Graph homomorphisms generalize the notion of independent sets as well as colorings. It is suspected that the inequality holds also for non-bipartite G as long as *H* is "nice", but we do not have a proof.

# Acknowledgements

This research was conducted at Joseph Gallian's REU at University of Minnesota Duluth, with funding from NSF and DoD (DMS 0754106), NSA (H98230-06-1-0013) and the MIT Department of Mathematics.

- graphs, Discrete Math. (to appear).

- pear).
- Probab. Comput. (to appear).



### **Further Questions**

 $i(G) \leq \prod \left(2^{\deg(u)} + 2^{\deg(v)} - 1\right)^{1/\deg(u)\deg(v)}.$  $uv \in E(G)$ 

 $|\text{Hom}(G, H)| \leq |\text{Hom}(K_{d,d}, H)|^{N/2d}$ ,

## References

[1] N. Alon, Independent sets in regular graphs and sum-free subsets of finite groups, Israel J. Math. 73 (1991), no. 2, 247–256.

[2] D. Galvin, An upper bound for the number of independent sets in regular

[3] D. Galvin and P. Tetali, On weighted graph homomorphisms, Graphs, morphisms and statistical physics, DIMACS Ser. Discrete Math. Theoret. Comput. Sci., vol. 63, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2004, pp. 97–104.

[4] J. Kahn, An entropy approach to the hard-core model on bipartite graphs, Combin. Probab. Comput. 10 (2001), no. 3, 219–237.

[5] M. Madiman and P. Tetali, *Information inequalities for joint distributions, with* interpretations and applications, IEEE Trans. on Information Theory (to ap-

[6] A. A. Sapozhenko, On the number of independent sets in extenders, Diskret. Mat. **13** (2001), no. 1, 56–62.

[7] Y. Zhao, The number of independent sets in a regular graph, Combin.